

AMSTAR VURDERING: *Lancet Psychiatry* 2018; 5: 727-38, Cortese et al. (NKR ADHD B&U PICO 1)

Bedømmere: HKAN + ML 13/10 2019

1. Was an 'a priori' design provided? Yes (p 729)
2. Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction? Yes (p 729)
3. Was a comprehensive literature search performed? Yes (p 729)
4. Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion? Yes (Der er ref til appendix p 15)
5. Was a list of studies (included and excluded) provided? Yes (p 730) – se appendix hvor fuld liste af included og excluded studier forefindes
6. Were the characteristics of the included studies provided? Yes (der refereres til appendix pp 283-381)
7. Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented? Yes (p 729)
8. Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions? Yes, men det skal noteres at der ikke formuleres anbefalinger I dette SR
9. Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate? Yes (link til appendix pp 459-71)
10. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed? Can't answer
11. Was the conflict of interest included? Partly (Can't answer) principally OK but only for Col for the NMA. To get a yes – included studies should have been investigated separately.